Environmental Research Letters

LETTER

Climate-informed environmental inflows to revive a drying lake facing meteorological and anthropogenic droughts

Aneseh Alborzi1, Ali Mirchi2,3, Hamed Moftakhari1, Iman Mallakpour1, Sara Alian4, Ali Nazemi5, Elnira Hassanzadeh6, Omid Mazdiyasni1, Samaneh Ashraf7, Kaveh Madani7,8, Hamid Norouzi9,10, Marzi Azarderakhsh1, Ali Mehran12, Mojtaba Sadeghi13, Andrea Castelletti14 and Amir AghaKouchak1,15,16

1 Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Irvine, United States of America
2 Department of Civil Engineering and Center for Environmental Resource Management, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX, United States of America
3 Department of Biosystems and Agricultural Engineering, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, United States of America
4 Department of Geological Sciences, The University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX, United States of America
5 Department of Building, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Concordia University, Montréal, Quebec, Canada
6 Department of Civil, Geological and Mining Engineering, Polytechnique Montréal, Quebec, Canada
7 Centre for Environmental Policy, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom
8 Department of Physical Geography, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
9 New York City College of Technology, City University of New York, Brooklyn, NY, United States of America
10 Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The Graduate Center, City University of New York, New York, NY, United States of America
11 School of Computer Science and Engineering, Fairleigh Dickinson University, Teaneck, NJ, United States of America
12 Department of Geography, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, United States of America
13 Department of Civil Engineering, Boise State University, Boise, ID, United States of America
14 Department of Electronics, Information, and Bioengineering, Politecnico di Milano, Milano, Italy
15 Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, United States of America
16 Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: amir.a@uci.edu

Keywords: Lake Urmia, anthropogenic drought, climate variability and change, sustainable water resources management, restoration, environmental inflow requirement

Supplementary material for this article is available online

Abstract

The rapid shrinkage of Lake Urmia, one of the world’s largest saline lakes located in northwestern Iran, is a tragic wake-up call to revisit the principles of water resources management based on the socio-economic and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. The overarching goal of this paper is to set a framework for deriving dynamic, climate-informed environmental inflows for drying lakes considering both meteorological/climatic and anthropogenic conditions. We report on the compounding effects of meteorological drought and unsustainable water resource management that contributed to Lake Urmia’s contemporary environmental catastrophe. Using rich datasets of hydrologic attributes, water demands and withdrawals, as well as water management infrastructure (i.e. reservoir capacity and operating policies), we provide a quantitative assessment of the basin’s water resources, demonstrating that Lake Urmia reached a tipping point in the early 2000s. The lake level failed to rebound to its designated ecological threshold (1274 m above sea level) during a relatively normal hydro-period immediately after the drought of record (1998–2002). The collapse was caused by a marked overshoot of the basin’s hydrologic capacity due to growing anthropogenic drought in the face of extreme climatological stressors. We offer a dynamic environmental inflow plan for different climate conditions (dry, wet and near normal), combined with three representative water withdrawal scenarios. Assuming effective implementation of the proposed 40% reduction in the current water withdrawals, the required environmental inflows range from 2900 million cubic meters per year (mcm yr\(^{-1}\)) during dry conditions to 5400 mcm yr\(^{-1}\) during wet periods with the average being 4100 mcm yr\(^{-1}\). Finally, for different environmental inflow scenarios, we estimate the expected recovery time for re-establishing the ecological level of Lake Urmia.

© 2018 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd
1. Introduction

Global fresh water resources are under growing pressure due to over-allocation of surface water (Vörösmarty et al. 2000, Hoekstra et al. 2012) and groundwater resources (Wada et al. 2010, Gleeson et al. 2012, Ashraf et al. 2017). The compounding effects of human-centered water management and global environmental changes in the Anthropocene have altered the natural hydrologic cycle by changing the quantity and quality of water, as well as changing the time scale of the processes that replenish water resources (Vörösmarty et al. 2010, Mirchi et al. 2014, Nazemi and Wheater 2014, 2015a, 2015b, Hassanzadeh et al. 2015, Mehran et al. 2017). The disruption of regional water regimes around the globe due to increasing water stress is evident in the growing number of inland water bodies that are facing ecological degradation, especially in irrigated agricultural areas (e.g. Coe and Foley 2001, Micklin 2007, Ma et al. 2010, UNEP 2012, Hatchett et al. 2015, Barnum et al. 2017).

Prime examples of drying terminal lakes in endorheic basins include the Aral Sea in Central Asia (Micklin 1988), Walker Lake and Great Salt Lake in the US (Wurtsbaugh et al. 2017), Lake Chad in Africa (Gao et al. 2011), and Lake Urmia in northwestern Iran (AghaKouchak et al. 2015). These alarming cases of lake level decline as well as other less dramatic incidents have been subjects of climate change scenario and impact assessments around the world (e.g. Coe and Foley 2001, Schwartz et al. 2004, Ma et al. 2010, Mohammed and Tarboton 2012, Shadkam et al. 2016). Water level serves as a key indicator of a lake’s stability (Ma et al. 2010). Lake level fluctuations depend on intra- and inter-annual hydrologic variability (Mei et al. 2015) and water management practices in the lake basin (Coe and Foley 2001, Ma et al. 2010). Determining whether the lake level change is primarily due to human factors or climate change bears important implications for lake restoration strategies. In theory, the chance of preserving lakes will be higher if human activities are the chief reason for the water level decline because of opportunities for taking real actions to improve water management in the lake basin.

The shrinkage of Lake Urmia, to less than 20% of its average size (i.e. more than 3000 km²) over the last two decades (see AghaKouchak et al. 2015, Farzin et al. 2012, Pengra 2012) is a recent exemplar of an emerging challenge related to unsustainable water management in the face of growing demand and climatic extremes. This designated UNESCO ecosystem and one of the largest saline lakes (Sima and Tajrishi 2013, Karbassi et al. 2010) is located at the bottom of an approximately 52 000 km² basin in northwestern Iran (figure 1), which is home to about five million people close to international borders with Turkey, Iraq, and Azerbaijan (IME 2013). With salinity levels ranging from six to approximately eight times higher than seawater, this shallow terminal lake is the largest natural habitat for brine shrimp Artemia (Artemia Urmiana), which attracts diverse species of migratory birds (Barigozzi et al. 1987, Vahed et al. 2011, Ahmad et al. 2011). Such massive decline in a lake area has been witnessed before in the Aral Sea Basin, where diverting Amu Darya and Syr Darya rivers during the Soviet era caused the lake to shrink to less than 10% of its original size (Micklin 1988, 2007, Gaybullaev et al. 2012). Remarkable parallels between unsustainable water resource management in the Lake Urmia and Aral Sea basins reinforce speculations of ‘the Aral Sea syndrome’ being a key driver of Lake Urmia’s collapse (AghaKouchak et al. 2015), causing negative impacts on both wildlife and humans (Madani et al. 2016, Yamaguchi et al. 2012).

The contemporary environmental catastrophe in the Lake Urmia Basin is a tragic wake-up call to rethink the water resources management paradigm in water-scarce countries based on hard-learned lessons about the social, economic, and environmental dimensions of sustainability (Madani 2014). Since the onset of the lake’s shoreline recession around the turn of the 21 century, many researchers have investigated various aspects of the problem (Gholampour et al. 2015, Ghaheer et al. 1999, Ahmadzadeh Kokya et al. 2011, Barigozzi et al. 1987, Delju et al. 2013, Nikbakht et al. 2013). The desiccation has been primarily attributed to climate change-induced meteorological droughts (e.g. Fathian et al. 2015, Vaheddoost and Aksoy 2017, Arkian et al. 2018), as well as anthropogenic drought due to supply-oriented water management (e.g. Hassanzadeh et al. 2012, AghaKouchak et al. 2015, Shadkam et al. 2016, Zarghami and AmiriRahmani 2017, Ghale et al. 2018). These studies have provided a high-level understanding of the problem, highlighting the need for and complexities of synergistic efforts to revive a drying lake that is effectively struggling with ‘water bankruptcy’ (Madani et al. 2016). As shown in figure 2, the drastic water level decrease after 1998 corresponds to a substantial increase (~25%) in surface water withdrawals to meet upstream potable and agricultural demands, which coincided with 48% decrease in runoff during the prolonged drought of 1998–2002. The largest water withdrawal of 4.75 bcm yr⁻¹, of which 2.7 bcm yr⁻¹ was supplied from surface water was triggered by rapid agricultural expansion (i.e. 14% increase in irrigation area; IME 2014). The figure also illustrates the basin’s recent wet (blue) and dry (red) periods as indicated by the standardized precipitation index (SPI; McKee et al. 1993) and the variability of naturalized runoff.

This study attempts to inform the ongoing debate about the causes of Lake Urmia’s shrinkage and the planned restoration efforts. It provides a quantitative assessment of the basin’s water resources and environmental water requirement as influenced by wet and dry periods, and anthropogenic water withdrawals. Understanding the large-scale interplay of green water losses (i.e. consumptive water uses in the agricultural sector) and blue water availability (i.e. surface water and groundwater) (Allan 1998, Hoekstra and...
Figure 1. Lake Urmia Basin showing its 117 sub-basins, existing dams and the lake’s surface area. The white boundary displays the lake’s surface area in 1998 based on Landsat imagery. The second boundary depicts the current condition based on 2017 Landsat imagery (the dark blue area shows the region where water can be confidently detected from space).

Figure 2. Key attributes of the lake-basin system prior to restoration program in 2013, including observed lake level, standardized precipitation index (SPI), basin-scale naturalized runoff, and surface water withdrawal. The basin’s recent wet (blue) and dry (red) periods are illustrated in SPI and naturalized runoff curves. Post-1998 drop in lake level corresponds to a substantial increase (~25%) in surface water withdrawals during the prolonged drought of 1998–2002.

Hung 2002, Falkenmark and Rockström 2004) super-imposed by climate stressors in the basin is essential for effective restoration of Lake Urmia and preempting similar incidences in other areas. Re-establishing Lake Urmia’s ecological integrity provides a testbed to evaluate different lake restoration policies and action plans to curb and reverse the unfolding crisis. We examine the compounding effects of climate anomalies and anthropocentric water withdrawals in this highly regulated basin to restore the lake’s designated ecologi-
cal water level of 1274 meters above sea level (masl) used as a monthly and annual threshold based on water quality conditions (240 g \( \text{L}^{-1} \) of NaCl) required to preserve brine shrimp Artemia (Abbaspour and Nazari-Doust 2007). We develop an understanding of lake level changes using comprehensive datasets of water resources management infrastructure (i.e. reservoir capacity and operating policies), observed streamflow data, and agricultural and urban water demand data from 117 sub-basins. The paper illustrates the need for developing a dynamic, climate informed environmental inflow plan to restore the lake’s ecological level. Furthermore, we investigate the lake’s expected recovery time under dynamic basin-scale water management scenarios compounded with a wide range of historical climatological conditions.

2. Methodology and data

We divided the Lake Urmia Basin into 117 sub-basins (see figure 1), ranging from 16 km\(^2\) to 3000 km\(^2\) (average sub-basin size: 405 km\(^2\)). The sub-basins were delineated based on the presence of streamflow gauges and/or dams as an outlet (i.e. Pour Point). For each sub-basin, we used observed streamflow data to represent the combined contribution of surface runoff and baseflow. Instead of calculating irrigation water use through estimated soil moisture (i.e. from a hydrological or a land-surface model) implemented in previous basin-scale analyses of this lake (e.g. Shadkam et al 2016), we used a sub-basin scale dataset of monthly agricultural water demands developed by local water authorities based on irrigated area and crop water requirement (IME 2014). Thus, we accounted for green water losses over the basin and consequent reduction of the blue water flow to the lake. Likewise, the municipal and industrial demands at the sub-basin scale were obtained based on available monthly observational data (IME 2013).

MODSIM-DSS, a generalized network flow river basin model (Fredericks et al 1998, Labadie and Larson 2007) applied for this study, distributes the available water based on natural inflows, water demands, reservoir capacities and operating policies, and calculates the lake level based on excess water flow to the lake. This modeling tool has been widely used for basin-scale water resources planning (Graham et al 1986, Sprague and Carlson 1982, Ahn et al 2016, Berhe et al 2013, Ashraf Vaghefi et al 2017), and it is able to represent the supply/demand priorities. We coupled the sub-basin scale water resource system model with a monthly lake water balance model to better represent lake-basin interactions. Table 1 summarizes key input datasets and sources.

The developed MODSIM-DSS model includes 17 large on-stream and off-stream operational reservoirs (i.e. capacity >5 mcm). These reservoirs collectively store up to 1560 mcm of water, providing 97% of the total surface storage capacity in the basin (see figure S1 in supplementary materials) available at stacks.iop.org/ERL/13/084010/mmedia. Physical characteristics and operating policies embedded in model inputs include: (i) volume-area-elevation curves, (ii) net evaporation rate, (iii) maximum, minimum and initial reservoir capacities, and (iv) reservoir water allocation priorities. Where cascaded reservoirs are present, the model is capable of simulating basin-scale coordinated operation of the reservoirs, i.e. upstream-downstream coordination to meet downstream demands. Without these reservoirs, upstream water could reach the lake quickly, rendering an inaccurate representation of water availability in different parts of the basin. We used river discharge measurements and observed lake levels to validate the simulated basin-lake interactions. Simulated lake levels and lake inflows closely track the observational data (see figures S2 through S4 in supplementary materials), indicating reasonable model performance, also suggested by model efficiency coefficients (e.g. (i) monthly lake inflow correlation coefficient (0.96), bias (15.5%), and Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient (0.9), and (ii) monthly lake level correlation coefficient (0.96), bias (0.03%), and Nash–Sutcliffe efficiency coefficient (0.79)). Depending on the time of measurement, lake level elevation varies from 1270 m to 1278 masl with average elevation being 1275 masl (average depth: 5.4 m).

For the lake scenario analyses (discussed below), a normal year is assumed to receive 350 mm of rainfall (IME 2013, ULRP 2016). Furthermore, we used monthly evaporation climatology with annual evaporation of 1100 mm yr\(^{-1}\) (ULRP 2016) for the simulation period. This simplification was necessary due to unavailability of monthly evaporation time series for the entire simulation period. To validate this assumption, we compared the performance of the MODSIM-DSS model using both monthly evaporation climatology and available monthly evaporation time series for the period of 1982–2002 for which we had access to monthly lake evaporation. The comparison illustrates that lake levels are consistent with observations using monthly evaporation climatology (figure S5 in supplementary materials). Water demand is partially met using groundwater up to an observed rate of 2000 mcm yr\(^{-1}\) (IME 2014). Given the lack of long-term records, we used different constant annual rates, but considering the monthly distributions for each sub-basin based on observations (IME 2014). Under different water withdrawal scenarios, the annual groundwater withdrawals vary between 1650 mcm yr\(^{-1}\) to 2000 mcm yr\(^{-1}\) to supplement surface water supply. We acknowledge that lack of groundwater withdrawal time series introduces uncertainties in the simulations.

We simulated the interactions between the upstream water resource system and Lake Urmia under scenarios that cover a wide range of climate conditions and water withdrawals combined (figure 3). The
model uses naturalized runoff data to allocate water to different demand nodes. We estimated the naturalized runoff for each sub-basin by adding long-term upstream surface water withdrawals (including return flow) to streamflow gauge at the sub-basin outlet. The climatological scenarios are based on historic climate observations including baseline and near normal climatology and an observed historic drought (i.e. 48% decrease in runoff). The baseline period (1994–1998) is a relatively wet period that precedes the drastic decrease in the lake area. The most extreme drought condition corresponds to 1998–2002 (hereafter, referred to as drought of record scenario). We consider 2003–2007 a near normal period after the 1998–2002 drought because natural runoff during this period is close to long-term mean (1967–2012, 6500 mcm yr\(^{-1}\)). Water demand scenarios include historical baseline, maximum demand, and target demand reduction. Baseline demand refers to pre-drastic change in lake levels and water withdrawals (i.e. pre-1998). Maximum demand is associated with rapid increase in the overall water withdrawals (i.e. 2003–2012) and it is the most extreme case investigated in our analysis. Target demand is based on the recommendation of the Urmia Lake Restoration Program (ULRP 2016) that calls for an aggressive 40% decrease in 2013 agricultural water use over a 5 year period (ULRP 2016). The combination of these scenarios helps evaluate the compounding effects of climatic and anthropogenic conditions on the lake’s water level.

For all nine coupled scenarios (i.e. permutations of three inflow scenarios and three demand scenarios) depicted in figure 3, we investigated both basin-scale water stress and associated changes in the lake level. We used a modified version of the water resources vulnerability index (Raskin et al 1997), in which environmental flow allocations are included in water stress index (WSI) calculations alongside Human Water Withdrawals (see Smakhtin et al 2005, Averyt et al 2013, Pastor et al 2014). The dimensionless WSI characterizes the stress imposed on the total water resources defined as the summation of both available surface water and groundwater resources (Raskin et al 1997, Vorösmarty et al 2005).

\[
WSI = \frac{HWW + EFA}{TWR}
\]  

\(1\)

The modified water resources vulnerability index accounts for environmental withdrawals in the water stress index formulation. A WSI of 0.6 represents a moderately exploited basin and WSI values above this threshold indicate that the basin is heavily exploited (Smakhtin et al 2005). Here, we consider the environmental inflow requirement of 3100 mcm yr\(^{-1}\) as Lake Urmia’s annual ecological demand in the historical scenarios (Abbaspour and Nazardioust 2007). The lake’s required ecological flows were not delivered reliably prior to the implementation of the restoration plan in 2013 due to lower priority of environmental flow compared to human water use. Furthermore, we evaluate the sensitivity of the minimum inflow requirement to lake level dynamics as a critical boundary condition for effective re-establishment of the target ecological level of the lake.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Assessment of climate-demand scenarios

Figure 4 summarizes the WSI over the basin along with the percentage of change in the lake’s level relative to baseline under the combined climate-demand scenarios. The results show high water stress under all nine scenarios. The basin-wide WSI under an intentionally optimistic scenario of wet period combined with ULRP target demand stands at an alarming level of 60% (i.e. moderately exploited basin). The WSI increases to about 80% under maximum observed demand during wet period, indicating heightened vulnerability in a heavily exploited basin. A similar increasing trend is detected during the near normal period when the WSI exceeds 80%. In an extremely dry period, in which the annual runoff reduces by 48% (compared to the baseline wet period), the lake is gravely vulnerable to increases in anthropogenic water demands, elevating the WSI to a distressing level of 90%. Percentages of annual change in lake depth (relative to baseline) over the five-year simulation periods (1994–1998, 1998–2002 and 2003–2007) show an increasingly divergent, declining trend of lake-basin interactions under near normal and dry period scenarios, compounded with larger water demand scenarios. The increasing range in boxplots corresponding to change in lake level (figure 4) illustrates higher vulnerability of the lake to human water withdrawals in dry condition.

In a wet climate and under the maximum demand scenario, the lake level drops by 10%, which highlights the significance of anthropogenic demand alone on the lake water depth. However due to ample surface runoff during a wet period, the lake level remains above the prescribed ecological threshold. Unlike the wet period, the lake is vulnerable to anthro-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Spatial scale</th>
<th>Temporal scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lake level-volume-area curve</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over-lake evaporation and precipitation</td>
<td>Meteorological stations</td>
<td>Monthly average (1967–2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface water supply</td>
<td>Streamlines</td>
<td>Monthly (1967–2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface water withdrawals and irrigated area</td>
<td>Sub-basin</td>
<td>Monthly (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater withdrawals</td>
<td>Sub-basin</td>
<td>Monthly mean (2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Datasets used for simulating the basin-lake interactions (Source: various publications of IME).
pogenic demand during a near normal condition and the lake-basin interactions under the ULRP target demand will be at a fragile hydrologic balance. This means that any rise in demand above the targeted values leads to lake level dropping below the ecological threshold. Notably, even a 5% increase in water demand during the near normal condition pushes the lake level below the ecological level. Expectedly, the largest decline in the lake level (i.e. 1.5 m drop below the ecological threshold) occurs during the dry period with maximum observed demand, which is the most extreme case in our analysis. This result confirms
the ‘double devil effect’ of 25% increase in water withdrawal in the Lake Urmia Basin during the drought of 1998–2002 that pushed the lake water budget severely out of balance and caused a lasting, drastic drop in the lake level (figure 4).

3.2. Lake level departure from the ecological threshold

Figure 5 illustrates the sensitivity of lake level to different combinations of total available water resources (including both surface water and groundwater) and total water withdrawal over the basin. The contours were derived from lake level as a model output under different simulation scenarios, which depends on water withdrawals (x-axis) and available water resources (y-axis). It is important here to distinguish between basin-scale total water withdrawal and water demand; total water withdrawal depends on the water availability in the basin, and therefore, it may be smaller than the total water demand. With a low supply reliability of 55%–80% (IME 2013), the Lake Urmia Basin faces water deficit, which necessitates water use restrictions. Sectoral water demands are met according to ordinal allocation priorities of domestic, industrial, agricultural, and finally environmental needs. Total water availability (5500 mcm) and water withdrawal (3500 mcm) over the basin during the drought of 1998–2002 caused the lake level to fall to around the 1273.5 contour line (figure 5(a)), which is consistent with the observed lake level in the aftermath of this prolonged drought.

We examined the sensitivity of the lake’s environmental inflow requirement to initial lake level as a boundary condition in order to quantify the implications for maintaining the lake’s ecological level. Figure 5(b) illustrates the results of lake level contours under coupled climate-withdrawal scenarios for initial lake levels of 1275 masl, 1274 masl, and 1273 masl, which represent water levels above, at, and below the ecological level, respectively. The lake’s ability to absorb water stresses while remaining above the critical threshold (i.e. safe ecological zone) declines significantly when the initial lake level decreases as indicated by dramatic decline of the estimated lake level. In the case of low initial water volume, a moderate withdrawal in a near normal climate condition may drive the lake level below the critical ecological threshold. This effect is seen in the post-drought scenario when low runoff for three consecutive years resulted in lake level decline (1573.5 masl) below the critical level. Although the region had near-normal precipitation and runoff immediately after the drought of record (i.e. during the 2003–2012 period), the lake levels continued to fall due to growing water withdrawal and failure to increase the lake’s environmental inflow. These results demonstrate the need to prescribe dynamic, climate-informed environmental inflow requirements to sustain the lake as opposed to the existing, static ecological water demand of 3100 mcm yr⁻¹.

3.3. Lake Urmia’s tipping phase and recovery trajectory

Lake Urmia reached a tipping point in the early 2000s when the lake basin’s hydrologic carrying capacity was significantly exceeded due to compounding pressures from climatological factors and unsustainable water management practices. Improved understanding of the compounding stressors will be critical to devise an effective restoration process for implementation within a realistic timeframe. The remarkable contrast between lake level simulations under natural (i.e. excluding anthropogenic withdrawals) and existing conditions reveals the critical role of anthropocentric water management in creating this environmental catastrophe. The lake’s severely disrupted water balance failed to rebound after the drought of record because the cumulative effect of the routine practice of increasing water diversions to keep up with growing upstream water demand acted as ‘the last straw that broke the camel’s back.’ Our simulations show that by 1998, total water withdrawals in the basin had already overshot the basin’s hydrologic capacity to sustain the lake, although in reality, water withdrawals continued to increase beyond 1998 levels. Even water withdrawals 40% lower than 2012 withdrawals (i.e. target withdrawal reduction for restoration) would not have been sufficient to prevent a significant decline in the lake level below the ecological level immediately after the drought of record, although the reduction would have markedly ameliorated the situation. Simulation results show that maintaining the lake’s ecological level would have been attainable by keeping water withdrawals 55% lower than the 2012 levels.

The key structural and non-structural restoration measures set forth by the ULRP include re-connecting the tributaries and the lake, major water transfers from trans-boundary river basins (e.g. Zab and Silveh Dam), limiting additional water withdrawal in the basin, and paying farmers to fallow the surrounding agricultural lands, among others (ULRP 2016). Water conservation practices in various demand sectors across the lake basin will be crucial for moving in the direction of recovery and should be prioritized. This is particularly important based on the lessons learned from implementing various inter-basin water transfer projects to address water shortage problems in the central plateau of Iran, where the problems have persisted despite artificial increase of surface water supply (Gohari et al 2013, Gohari et al 2017). Adoption of low water consuming crops (e.g. grape) in the basin along with increasing irrigation efficiency with the ultimate goal of reducing net water consumption can facilitate the attainment of an ambitious 40% decrease in withdrawals as prescribed by the ULRP (ULRP 2016).

Our analysis suggests that the ULRP timeline is overambitious (figure 7). Depending on climatic conditions and assuming effective implementation of the proposed 40% reduction in the current water withdrawal, the required environmental inflows
Figure 5. Average lake level contours under different combinations of water availability and water withdrawals with the initial lake level fixed at 1274 masl (a), and when the initial lake level is changed as a variable boundary condition (b).

Figure 6. Lake Urmia level under different water withdrawal scenarios. The natural system simulation (i.e. no anthropogenic withdrawals) and different withdrawal scenarios illustrate the overshoot of the basin’s hydrologic carrying capacity to sustain the lake due to anthropocentric water management after an extreme drought.
Figure 7. Environmental inflow along with estimated timeframe to restore to ecological level (1274 masl), considering 20% and 40% decrease in water withdrawals over the basin, given the initial lake level of 1270.7 masl. Long-term mean (i.e. 1967–2012) naturalized runoff in the Lake Urmia Basin is estimated at 6500 mcm yr$^{-1}$.

range from 2900 mcm yr$^{-1}$ (during dry conditions) to 5400 mcm yr$^{-1}$ (during wet conditions) with the average being 4100 mcm yr$^{-1}$. Under a more realistic 20% water withdrawal reduction these values are estimated to range from 3100 mcm yr$^{-1}$ (during dry conditions) to 4900 mcm yr$^{-1}$ (during wet conditions) with the average being 4000 mcm yr$^{-1}$. Despite restoration efforts after 2013, the lake level in 2017 was more than 3 m below the ecological threshold after reaching a post-collapse maximum of 1271.3 masl that has been attributed to implementation of a stabilization phase from 2014–2016, and large precipitation events in a relatively normal hydroclimatic period. Enforcement of the 40% decrease in agricultural water withdrawals through purchasing water rights within a five-year period starting in 2015 is a key measure of the ULRP during the rehabilitation phase (i.e. 2017–2022). Using the observed lake level in 2017 as the initial condition, we investigated the sensitivity of the lake’s ecological level recovery timeline to reducing the agricultural water withdrawals by projecting lake level into the future under different climate scenarios. Figure 7 shows that under scenarios of increased aridity, when meeting the environmental inflow requirement of the lake will be difficult, restoring the ecological level can take up to 16 years, even if the proposed 40% reduction in agricultural water withdrawal is realized. Failing to reduce agricultural water withdrawals and/or providing the environmental inflows will result in delaying the attainment of the ecological level.

The lake is currently in grave need of receiving adequate environmental inflows. The natural flow regime (Poff et al 1997) provides a theoretical framework for implementing ecosystem-based water management in the Lake Urmia sub-basins to mitigate adverse socio-ecological impacts. To this end the ULRP includes radical proposals to revive the lake, e.g. operating the reservoirs exclusively for lake restoration purposes, as well as improving the monitoring and regulation of surface water and groundwater withdrawals (ULRP 2016). However, transitioning to an ecosystem-based water management paradigm by meeting dynamic environmental inflows in the Lake Urmia Basin is evidently difficult because of the presence of multi-sectoral tradeoffs (e.g. financial losses to stakeholders and population redistribution) that put the agricultural economy and socio-ecological sustainability at odds. On the one hand, the water resources that are exploited beyond the basin’s natural supply capacity are supporting agrarian and urban livelihoods with significant green and blue water footprints (Hoekstra and Chapagain 2006, Mekonnen and Hoekstra 2011). On the other hand, the loss of tourism (Maleki et al 2018) and potential public health effects due to salt blowouts from the exposed lake bed (Griffin and Kellogg 2004) are side-effects that have considerable socioeconomic implications. The high water stress even during wet periods underscores the prevalence of a chronic anthropogenic drought. To cope with this situation, investigating an ‘environmental hedging’ approach guided by hydrologic and biologic forecasting (Adams et al 2017) may offer a practical strategy to facilitate progress towards ecological recovery of the lake while meeting human demands within the constraints of basin scale water availability and ecological functions of Lake Urmia.
4. Conclusions

The Lake Urmia Basin in northwestern Iran is an exemplar of how unsustainable water management to meet growing water demand can create massive socio-ecological challenges. We developed a detailed water resources systems model of the basin to investigate the causes of Lake Urmia’s shrinkage based on a quantitative assessment of the water balance under wet and dry periods and water withdrawal scenarios. Furthermore, we evaluated potential effectiveness of the planned restoration measures. Our simulations include comprehensive datasets of water resources management infrastructure (i.e. reservoir capacity and operating policies), observed streamflow data, and agricultural and urban water demand data from 117 sub-basins.

Results demonstrate that a growing anthropogenic drought combined with meteorological drought drove the lake toward a state of hydrological overshoot and collapse. The rapid water level decline after the drought of record (1998–2002) when annual runoff decreased by 48% is synchronous with an approximately 25% increase in surface water withdrawals, especially in the agricultural sector, which continued long after signs of the lake’s tipping phase appeared. The lake level remained significantly below the designated ecological threshold (m above sea level) even in a relatively normal period immediately after the drought. In the absence of the unsustainable water resources development and growing anthropogenic water stress, the lake would have resisted the climatologic shock without collapsing.

Re-establishing Lake Urmia’s ecological integrity requires aggressive restoration policies and action plans aimed at maintaining environmental inflows in the face of compounding climate anomalies and water withdrawals. A dynamic and climate-informed environmental inflow plan is critical for reviving the lake. Taking into account both climatic conditions and assuming the already proposed 40% reduction in the current water withdrawals, we estimate that the lake’s environmental inflow requirements range from 2900 mcm yr\(^{-1}\) (during dry conditions) to 5400 mcm yr\(^{-1}\) (during wet conditions) with the average being 4100 mcm yr\(^{-1}\). These estimates for a more realistic 20% water withdrawal reduction would be 3100 mcm yr\(^{-1}\) (during dry conditions) to 4900 mcm yr\(^{-1}\) (during wet conditions) with the average being 4000 mcm yr\(^{-1}\). Depending on the climatic condition, water withdrawal reduction plan, and environmental releases, Lake Urmia’s recovery time can range from 3 to 16 years.
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